On the equality of the BLUPs under two linear mixed models

Stephen J. Haslett · Simo Puntanen

Received: 16 September 2008 / Published online: 2 April 2010

© Springer-Verlag 2010

Abstract In this paper we consider two mixed linear models, \mathcal{M}_1 and \mathcal{M}_2 , say, which have different covariance matrices. We review some useful concepts and results on the best linear unbiased estimators (BLUEs) and on best linear unbiased predictors (BLUPs). We give new necessary and sufficient conditions, without making any rank assumptions, that every representation of the BLUP of the random effect under the model \mathcal{M}_1 continues to be BLUP under the model \mathcal{M}_2 . These considerations are generalized to two linear models with new unobserved future observations.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{Keywords} & BLUE \cdot BLUP \cdot Generalized \ inverse \cdot Linear \ fixed \ effects \ model \cdot Linear \ mixed \ effects \ model \cdot L\"{o}wner \ ordering \end{tabular}$

1 Introduction

Much of the literature in linear statistical models has focused on best linear unbiased estimation of fixed coefficients, and to a lesser extent on equality of those coefficients under two different covariance matrices. There is also an extensive literature on mixed linear models which includes material on equality of fixed parameter estimates under two models. There is, however, an almost non-existent literature on equality of best linear unbiased predictors of random effects in mixed models. This can be an important question in animal breeding and small-area estimation, for example. The links

Institute of Fundamental Sciences, Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand e-mail: s.j.haslett@massey.ac.nz

S. Puntanen

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Tampere, 33014 Tampere, Finland e-mail: simo.puntanen@uta.fi



S. J. Haslett (⊠)