

# Expressivity within second-order transitive-closure logic

Jonni Virtema

Hasselt University, Belgium  
jonni.virtema@gmail.com

Joint work with Jan Van den Bussche and Flavio Ferrarotti  
To be presented in CSL 2018

Horizons of Logic, Computation and Definability  
Symposium in Honour of Lauri Hella's 60th birthday  
July 6, 2018

# Transitive closure

The transitive closure  $\text{TC}(R)$  of a binary relation  $R \subseteq A \times A$  is defined as follows

$$\text{TC}(R) := \{(a, b) \in A \times A \mid \exists n > 0 \text{ and } e_0, \dots, e_n \in A \\ \text{such that } a = e_0, b = e_n, \text{ and } (e_i, e_{i+1}) \in R \text{ for all } i < n\}.$$

In our setting  $A$  is set of tuples  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ , where each  $a_i$  is either an *element* or a *relation* over some domain  $D$ .

Expressivity within  
second-order  
transitive-closure  
logic

Jonni Virtema

Transitive closure

FO(TC) & SO(TC)

Examples

Expressivity

MSO(TC) and  
counting

Order invariant  
MSO

Open questions

# Transitive closure

Expressivity within  
second-order  
transitive-closure  
logic

Jonni Virtema

Transitive closure

FO(TC) & SO(TC)

Examples

Expressivity

MSO(TC) and  
counting

Order invariant  
MSO

Open questions

The transitive closure  $\text{TC}(R)$  of a binary relation  $R \subseteq A \times A$  is defined as follows

$$\text{TC}(R) := \{(a, b) \in A \times A \mid \exists n > 0 \text{ and } e_0, \dots, e_n \in A \\ \text{such that } a = e_0, b = e_n, \text{ and } (e_i, e_{i+1}) \in R \text{ for all } i < n\}.$$

In our setting  $A$  is set of tuples  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ , where each  $a_i$  is either an *element* or a *relation* over some domain  $D$ .

# Transitive closure

## Example

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be an undirected graph. Then  $(a, b) \in \text{TC}(E)$  if  $a$  and  $b$  are in the same component of  $G$ , or equivalently, if there is a path from  $a$  to  $b$  in  $G$ .

## Example

A graph  $G = (V, E)$  has a Hamiltonian cycle if the following holds:

1. There is a relation  $\mathcal{R}$  such that

$$(Z, z, Z', z') \in \mathcal{R} \quad \text{iff} \quad Z' = Z \cup \{z'\}, z' \notin Z \text{ and } (z, z') \in E.$$

2. The tuple  $(\{x\}, x, V, y)$  is in the transitive closure of  $\mathcal{R}$ , for some  $x, y \in V$  such that  $(y, x) \in E$ .

Expressivity within  
second-order  
transitive-closure  
logic

Jonni Virtema

Transitive closure

FO(TC) & SO(TC)

Examples

Expressivity

MSO(TC) and  
counting

Order invariant  
MSO

Open questions

# Transitive closure

## Example

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be an undirected graph. Then  $(a, b) \in \text{TC}(E)$  if  $a$  and  $b$  are in the same component of  $G$ , or equivalently, if there is a path from  $a$  to  $b$  in  $G$ .

## Example

A graph  $G = (V, E)$  has a Hamiltonian cycle if the following holds:

1. There is a relation  $\mathcal{R}$  such that

$$(Z, z, Z', z') \in \mathcal{R} \quad \text{iff} \quad Z' = Z \cup \{z'\}, z' \notin Z \text{ and } (z, z') \in E.$$

2. The tuple  $(\{x\}, x, V, y)$  is in the transitive closure of  $\mathcal{R}$ , for some  $x, y \in V$  such that  $(y, x) \in E$ .

Expressivity within  
second-order  
transitive-closure  
logic

Jonni Virtema

Transitive closure

FO(TC) & SO(TC)

Examples

Expressivity

MSO(TC) and  
counting

Order invariant  
MSO

Open questions

# Logics with transitive closure operator

First-order transitive closure logic **FO(TC)**:

$$\varphi ::= x = y \mid X(x_1, \dots, x_k) \mid \neg\varphi \mid (\varphi \vee \varphi) \mid \exists x\varphi \mid [\text{TC}_{\vec{x}, \vec{x}'}\varphi](\vec{y}, \vec{y}'),$$

where  $\vec{x}$ ,  $\vec{x}'$ ,  $\vec{y}$ , and  $\vec{y}'$  are tuples of first-order variables of the same length.

Semantics for the **TC** operator:

$$\mathfrak{A} \models_s [\text{TC}_{\vec{x}, \vec{x}'}\varphi](\vec{y}, \vec{y}') \text{ iff } (s(\vec{y}), s(\vec{y}')) \in \text{TC}(\{(\vec{a}, \vec{a}') \mid \mathfrak{A} \models_{s(\vec{x} \mapsto \vec{a}, \vec{x}' \mapsto \vec{a}')} \varphi\})$$

## Example

The sentence

$$\forall x \forall y [\text{TC}_{z, z'} E(z, z')](x, y)$$

expresses connectivity of graphs  $(V, E)$ .

Expressivity within  
second-order  
transitive-closure  
logic

Jonni Virtema

Transitive closure  
FO(TC) & SO(TC)

Examples

Expressivity

MSO(TC) and  
counting

Order invariant  
MSO

Open questions

# Logics with transitive closure operator

First-order transitive closure logic **FO(TC)**:

$$\varphi ::= x = y \mid X(x_1, \dots, x_k) \mid \neg\varphi \mid (\varphi \vee \varphi) \mid \exists x\varphi \mid [\text{TC}_{\vec{x}, \vec{x}'}\varphi](\vec{y}, \vec{y}'),$$

where  $\vec{x}$ ,  $\vec{x}'$ ,  $\vec{y}$ , and  $\vec{y}'$  are tuples of first-order variables of the same length.

Semantics for the **TC** operator:

$$\mathfrak{A} \models_s [\text{TC}_{\vec{x}, \vec{x}'}\varphi](\vec{y}, \vec{y}') \text{ iff } (s(\vec{y}), s(\vec{y}')) \in \text{TC}(\{(\vec{a}, \vec{a}') \mid \mathfrak{A} \models_{s(\vec{x} \mapsto \vec{a}, \vec{x}' \mapsto \vec{a}')} \varphi\})$$

## Example

The sentence

$$\forall x \forall y [\text{TC}_{z, z'} E(z, z')](x, y)$$

expresses connectivity of graphs  $(V, E)$ .

Expressivity within  
second-order  
transitive-closure  
logic

Jonni Virtema

Transitive closure  
FO(TC) & SO(TC)

Examples

Expressivity

MSO(TC) and  
counting

Order invariant  
MSO

Open questions

# Logics with transitive closure operator

Second-order transitive closure logic **SO(TC)**:

$$\varphi ::= x = y \mid X(x_1, \dots, x_k) \mid \neg\varphi \mid (\varphi \vee \varphi) \mid \exists x\varphi \mid \exists Y\varphi \mid [\text{TC}_{\vec{X}, \vec{X}'}\varphi](\vec{Y}, \vec{Y}'),$$

where  $\vec{X}$ ,  $\vec{X}'$ ,  $\vec{Y}$ , and  $\vec{Y}'$  are tuples of first-order and second-order variables of the same length and sort.

Semantics for the **TC** operator:

$$\mathfrak{A} \models_s [\text{TC}_{\vec{X}, \vec{X}'}\varphi](\vec{Y}, \vec{Y}') \text{ iff } (s(\vec{Y}), s(\vec{Y}')) \in \text{TC}(\{(\vec{A}, \vec{B}') \mid \mathfrak{A} \models_{s(\vec{X} \mapsto \vec{A}, \vec{X}' \mapsto \vec{B}')} \varphi\})$$

**MSO(TC)** is the fragment of **SO(TC)** in which all second-order variables have arity 1.

Expressivity within  
second-order  
transitive-closure  
logic

Jonni Virtema

Transitive closure

FO(TC) & SO(TC)

Examples

Expressivity

MSO(TC) and  
counting

Order invariant  
MSO

Open questions

# Logics with transitive closure operator

Second-order transitive closure logic **SO(TC)**:

$$\varphi ::= x = y \mid X(x_1, \dots, x_k) \mid \neg\varphi \mid (\varphi \vee \varphi) \mid \exists x\varphi \mid \exists Y\varphi \mid [\text{TC}_{\vec{X}, \vec{X}'}\varphi](\vec{Y}, \vec{Y}'),$$

where  $\vec{X}$ ,  $\vec{X}'$ ,  $\vec{Y}$ , and  $\vec{Y}'$  are tuples of first-order and second-order variables of the same length and sort.

Semantics for the **TC** operator:

$$\mathfrak{A} \models_s [\text{TC}_{\vec{X}, \vec{X}'}\varphi](\vec{Y}, \vec{Y}') \text{ iff } (s(\vec{Y}), s(\vec{Y}')) \in \text{TC}(\{(\vec{A}, \vec{B}') \mid \mathfrak{A} \models_{s(\vec{X} \mapsto \vec{A}, \vec{X}' \mapsto \vec{B}')} \varphi\})$$

**MSO(TC)** is the fragment of **SO(TC)** in which all second-order variables have arity 1.

Expressivity within  
second-order  
transitive-closure  
logic

Jonni Virtema

Transitive closure

FO(TC) & SO(TC)

Examples

Expressivity

MSO(TC) and  
counting

Order invariant  
MSO

Open questions

# The Härtig quantifier

$\mathfrak{A} \models_s \text{Hxy}(\varphi(x), \psi(y)) \Leftrightarrow$  the sets  $\{a \in A \mid \mathfrak{A} \models_{s(x \mapsto a)} \varphi(x)\}$  and  $\{b \in A \mid \mathfrak{A} \models_{s(y \mapsto b)} \psi(y)\}$  have the same cardinality

Example (The Härtig quantifier can be expressed in MSO(TC).)

Let  $\psi_{\text{decrement}}$  denote an FO-formula expressing that  $s(X') = s(X) \setminus \{a\}$  and  $s(Y') = s(Y) \setminus \{b\}$  for some  $a$  and  $b$ . Define

$$\psi_{\text{ec}} := \exists X_{\emptyset} \left( (\forall x \neg X_{\emptyset}(x)) \wedge [\text{TC}_{X,Y,X',Y'} \psi_{\text{decrement}}](Z, Z', X_{\emptyset}, X_{\emptyset}) \right).$$

Now  $\psi_{\text{ec}}$  holds under  $s$  if and only if the cardinalities of  $s(Z)$  and  $s(Z')$  are the same. Therefore  $\text{Hxy}(\varphi(x), \psi(y))$  is equivalent with the formula

$$\exists Z \exists Z' (\forall x (\varphi(x) \leftrightarrow Z(x)) \wedge \forall y (\psi(y) \leftrightarrow Z'(y)) \wedge \psi_{\text{ec}}).$$

# The Härtig quantifier

$\mathfrak{A} \models_s \text{Hxy}(\varphi(x), \psi(y)) \Leftrightarrow$  the sets  $\{a \in A \mid \mathfrak{A} \models_{s(x \mapsto a)} \varphi(x)\}$  and  $\{b \in A \mid \mathfrak{A} \models_{s(y \mapsto b)} \psi(y)\}$  have the same cardinality

Example (The Härtig quantifier can be expressed in MSO(TC).)

Let  $\psi_{\text{decrement}}$  denote an FO-formula expressing that  $s(X') = s(X) \setminus \{a\}$  and  $s(Y') = s(Y) \setminus \{b\}$  for some  $a$  and  $b$ . Define

$$\psi_{\text{ec}} := \exists X_{\emptyset} \left( (\forall x \neg X_{\emptyset}(x)) \wedge [\text{TC}_{X,Y,X',Y'} \psi_{\text{decrement}}](Z, Z', X_{\emptyset}, X_{\emptyset}) \right).$$

Now  $\psi_{\text{ec}}$  holds under  $s$  if and only if the cardinalities of  $s(Z)$  and  $s(Z')$  are the same. Therefore  $\text{Hxy}(\varphi(x), \psi(y))$  is equivalent with the formula

$$\exists Z \exists Z' (\forall x (\varphi(x) \leftrightarrow Z(x)) \wedge \forall y (\psi(y) \leftrightarrow Z'(y)) \wedge \psi_{\text{ec}}).$$

# The Härtig quantifier

$\mathfrak{A} \models_s \text{Hxy}(\varphi(x), \psi(y)) \Leftrightarrow$  the sets  $\{a \in A \mid \mathfrak{A} \models_{s(x \mapsto a)} \varphi(x)\}$  and  $\{b \in A \mid \mathfrak{A} \models_{s(y \mapsto b)} \psi(y)\}$  have the same cardinality

Example (The Härtig quantifier can be expressed in MSO(TC).)

Let  $\psi_{\text{decrement}}$  denote an FO-formula expressing that  $s(X') = s(X) \setminus \{a\}$  and  $s(Y') = s(Y) \setminus \{b\}$  for some  $a$  and  $b$ . Define

$$\psi_{\text{ec}} := \exists X_{\emptyset} \left( (\forall x \neg X_{\emptyset}(x)) \wedge [\text{TC}_{X,Y,X',Y'} \psi_{\text{decrement}}](Z, Z', X_{\emptyset}, X_{\emptyset}) \right).$$

Now  $\psi_{\text{ec}}$  holds under  $s$  if and only if the cardinalities of  $s(Z)$  and  $s(Z')$  are the same. Therefore  $\text{Hxy}(\varphi(x), \psi(y))$  is equivalent with the formula

$$\exists Z \exists Z' (\forall x (\varphi(x) \leftrightarrow Z(x)) \wedge \forall y (\psi(y) \leftrightarrow Z'(y)) \wedge \psi_{\text{ec}}).$$

# Hamiltonian cycle

## Example

A graph  $G = (V, E)$  has a Hamiltonian cycle if the following holds:

1. There is a relation  $\mathcal{R}$  such that

$$(Z, z, Z', z') \in \mathcal{R} \quad \text{iff} \quad Z' = Z \cup \{z'\}, z' \notin Z \text{ and } (z, z') \in E.$$

2. The tuple  $(\{x\}, x, V, y)$  is in the transitive closure of  $\mathcal{R}$ , for some  $x, y \in V$  such that  $(y, x) \in E$ .

In the language of  $\text{MSO}(\text{TC})$  this can be written as follows:

$$\exists X Y x y (X(x) \wedge \forall z (z \neq x \rightarrow \neg X(z)) \wedge \forall z (Y(z)) \wedge E(y, x) \wedge [\text{TC}_{Z, z, Z', z'} \varphi](X, x, Y, y))$$

where  $\varphi := \neg Z(z') \wedge \forall x (Z'(x) \leftrightarrow (Z(x) \vee z' = x)) \wedge E(z, z')$ .

# Hamiltonian cycle

## Example

A graph  $G = (V, E)$  has a Hamiltonian cycle if the following holds:

1. There is a relation  $\mathcal{R}$  such that

$$(Z, z, Z', z') \in \mathcal{R} \quad \text{iff} \quad Z' = Z \cup \{z'\}, z' \notin Z \text{ and } (z, z') \in E.$$

2. The tuple  $(\{x\}, x, V, y)$  is in the transitive closure of  $\mathcal{R}$ , for some  $x, y \in V$  such that  $(y, x) \in E$ .

In the language of  $\text{MSO}(\text{TC})$  this can be written as follows:

$$\exists X Y x y (X(x) \wedge \forall z (z \neq x \rightarrow \neg X(z)) \wedge \forall z (Y(z)) \wedge E(y, x) \wedge [\text{TC}_{Z, z, Z', z'} \varphi](X, x, Y, y))$$

where  $\varphi := \neg Z(z') \wedge \forall x (Z'(x) \leftrightarrow (Z(x) \vee z' = x)) \wedge E(z, z')$ .

# Descriptive complexity

## Theorem (Harel and Peleg 84)

$\text{SO}(\text{TC})$  captures polynomial space  $\text{PSPACE}$ .

## Theorem (Immerman 87)

- ▶ On finite ordered structures, first-order transitive-closure logic  $\text{FO}(\text{TC})$  captures nondeterministic logarithmic space  $\text{NLOGSPACE}$ .
- ▶ On strings (word structures),  $\text{SO}(\text{arity } k)(\text{TC})$  captures the complexity class  $\text{NSPACE}(n^k)$ .

In particular, on strings  $\text{MSO}(\text{TC})$  captures nondeterministic linear space  $\text{NLIN}$ .

Expressivity within  
second-order  
transitive-closure  
logic

Jonni Virtema

Transitive closure

$\text{FO}(\text{TC})$  &  $\text{SO}(\text{TC})$

Examples

Expressivity

$\text{MSO}(\text{TC})$  and  
counting

Order invariant  
 $\text{MSO}$

Open questions

# Existential positive SO(2TC)

$\exists\text{SO}(2\text{TC})$  is the syntactic fragment of  $\text{SO}(\text{TC})$  in which

1. the existential quantifiers and the  $\text{TC}$ -operators occur only positively.
2.  $\text{TC}$ -operators bound only second-order variables.

Rosen noted (99) that  $\exists\text{SO}$  collapses to existential first-order logic  $\exists\text{FO}$ .

## Theorem

*The expressive powers of  $\exists\text{SO}(2\text{TC})$  and  $\exists\text{FO}$  coincide.*

## Proof.

$$[\text{TC}_{\vec{X}, \vec{X}'} \exists x_1 \dots \exists x_n \theta](\vec{Y}, \vec{Y}') \text{ and } \mathfrak{A} \models [\text{TC}_{\vec{X}, \vec{X}'}^k \exists x_1 \dots \exists x_n \theta](\vec{Y}, \vec{Y}'),$$

where  $\theta$  is quantifier free  $\text{FO}$ -formula, are equivalent for large enough  $k$ .

(Note that  $k$  independent of the model in question and depends only on the formula.) □

# Existential positive SO(2TC)

$\exists\text{SO}(2\text{TC})$  is the syntactic fragment of  $\text{SO}(\text{TC})$  in which

1. the existential quantifiers and the  $\text{TC}$ -operators occur only positively.
2.  $\text{TC}$ -operators bound only second-order variables.

Rosen noted (99) that  $\exists\text{SO}$  collapses to existential first-order logic  $\exists\text{FO}$ .

## Theorem

*The expressive powers of  $\exists\text{SO}(2\text{TC})$  and  $\exists\text{FO}$  coincide.*

## Proof.

$$[\text{TC}_{\vec{X}, \vec{X}'} \exists x_1 \dots \exists x_n \theta](\vec{Y}, \vec{Y}') \text{ and } \mathfrak{A} \models [\text{TC}_{\vec{X}, \vec{X}'}^k \exists x_1 \dots \exists x_n \theta](\vec{Y}, \vec{Y}'),$$

where  $\theta$  is quantifier free  $\text{FO}$ -formula, are equivalent for large enough  $k$ .

(Note that  $k$  independent of the model in question and depends only on the formula.) □

# Corridor tiling problem

The *corridor tiling problem* is the following PSPACE-complete decision problem (Chlebus 86):

**Input:** An instance  $P = (T, H, V, \vec{b}, \vec{t}, n)$  of the corridor tiling problem.

**Output:** Does there exist a corridor tiling for  $P$ ? (Does there exists a tiling of width  $n$  having  $\vec{b}$  as the first row and  $\vec{t}$  as the last row?)

Expressivity within  
second-order  
transitive-closure  
logic

Jonni Virtema

Transitive closure

FO(TC) & SO(TC)

Examples

Expressivity

MSO(TC) and  
counting

Order invariant  
MSO

Open questions

# Complexity of model checking

## Theorem

Combined complexity of model checking for monadic  $2TC[\forall FO]$  is  $PSPACE$ -complete.

## Proof.

Hardness follows from a reduction from corridor tiling. Input:  $(T, H, V, \vec{b}, \vec{t})$ . Let  $s$  be a successor relation on  $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  and  $X_1, \dots, X_k, Y_1, \dots, Y_k$  monadic second-order variables that correspond to tile types.

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_H &:= \forall xy (s(x, y) \rightarrow \bigvee_{(i,j) \in H} Z'_i(x) \wedge Z'_j(y)), & \varphi_V &:= \forall x \bigvee_{(i,j) \in V} Z_i(x) \wedge Z'_j(x) \\ \varphi_T &:= \forall x \bigvee_{i \in T} (Z'_i(x) \wedge \bigwedge_{j \in T, i \neq j} \neg Z'_j(x)),\end{aligned}$$

The formula  $TC_{\vec{Z}, \vec{Z}'}[\varphi_T \wedge \varphi_H \wedge \varphi_V](\vec{X}, \vec{Y})$  describes proper tiling. □

Expressivity within  
second-order  
transitive-closure  
logic

Jonni Virtema

Transitive closure  
FO(TC) & SO(TC)

Examples

Expressivity

MSO(TC) and  
counting

Order invariant  
MSO

Open questions

# MSO(TC) and counting

- ▶ Assume a supply of *counter variables*  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  (with subscripts). Counters range over  $\{0, \dots, n\}$ , where  $n$  is the cardinality the model.
- ▶ Assume a supply of  $k$ -ary *numeric predicates*  $p(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$ .
  - ▶ Intuitively relations over natural numbers such as the tables of multiplication and addition.
  - ▶ Technically similar to generalised quantifiers; a  $k$ -ary numeric predicate is a class  $Q_p \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{k+1}$  of  $k+1$ -tuples of natural numbers.
  - ▶ When evaluating a  $k$ -ary numeric predicate  $p(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$ , the numeric predicate  $Q_p$  accesses also the cardinality of the structure in question.

Expressivity within  
second-order  
transitive-closure  
logic

Jonni Virtema

Transitive closure

FO(TC) & SO(TC)

Examples

Expressivity

MSO(TC) and  
counting

Order invariant  
MSO

Open questions

# MSO(TC) and counting

## Definition

The syntax of **CMSO(TC)** extends the syntax of **MSO(TC)** as follows:

$$\varphi ::= (\mu = \#\{x : \varphi\}) \mid p(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k) \mid \exists \mu \varphi \mid [\text{TC}_{\vec{X}, \vec{X}'} \varphi](\vec{Y}, \vec{Y}'),$$

where  $\vec{X}$ ,  $\vec{X}'$ ,  $\vec{Y}$ , and  $\vec{Y}'$  may also include counter variables.

Semantics:

$\mathfrak{A} \models_s \mu = \#\{x : \varphi\}$  iff  $s(\mu)$  equals the cardinality of  $\{a \in A \mid \mathfrak{A} \models_{s(x \mapsto a)} \varphi\}$ .

$\mathfrak{A} \models_s p(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$  iff  $(|A|, s(\mu_1), \dots, s(\mu_k)) \in Q_p$

$\mathfrak{A} \models_s \exists \mu \varphi$  iff there exists  $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\mathfrak{A} \models_{s(\mu \mapsto i)} \varphi$ .

Expressivity within  
second-order  
transitive-closure  
logic

Jonni Virtema

Transitive closure

FO(TC) & SO(TC)

Examples

Expressivity

MSO(TC) and  
counting

Order invariant  
MSO

Open questions

# MSO(TC) and counting

## Definition

The syntax of **CMSO(TC)** extends the syntax of **MSO(TC)** as follows:

$$\varphi ::= (\mu = \#\{x : \varphi\}) \mid p(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k) \mid \exists \mu \varphi \mid [\text{TC}_{\vec{X}, \vec{X}'} \varphi](\vec{Y}, \vec{Y}'),$$

where  $\vec{X}$ ,  $\vec{X}'$ ,  $\vec{Y}$ , and  $\vec{Y}'$  may also include counter variables.

Semantics:

$\mathfrak{A} \models_s \mu = \#\{x : \varphi\}$  iff  $s(\mu)$  equals the cardinality of  $\{a \in A \mid \mathfrak{A} \models_{s(x \mapsto a)} \varphi\}$ .

$\mathfrak{A} \models_s p(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$  iff  $(|A|, s(\mu_1), \dots, s(\mu_k)) \in Q_p$

$\mathfrak{A} \models_s \exists \mu \varphi$  iff there exists  $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\mathfrak{A} \models_{s(\mu \mapsto i)} \varphi$ .

Expressivity within  
second-order  
transitive-closure  
logic

Jonni Virtema

Transitive closure

FO(TC) & SO(TC)

Examples

Expressivity

MSO(TC) and  
counting

Order invariant  
MSO

Open questions

# Counting in NLOGSPACE

## Definition

A  $k$ -ary numeric predicate  $Q_p$  is *decidable in NLOGSPACE* if the membership  $(n_0, \dots, n_k) \in Q_p$  can be decided by a nondeterministic Turing machine that uses logarithmic space when the numbers  $n_0, \dots, n_k$  are given in unary. Note that this is equivalent to linear space when  $n_0, \dots, n_k$  are given in binary.

We restrict to numeric predicates that are decidable in NLOGSPACE.

## Example

Let  $k$  be a natural number,  $X, Y, Z, X_1, \dots, X_n$  monadic second-order variables. The following numeric predicates are clearly NLOGSPACE-definable:

- ▶  $\mathfrak{A} \models_s \text{size}(X, k)$  iff  $|s(X)| = k$ ,
- ▶  $\mathfrak{A} \models_s \times(X, Y, Z)$  iff  $|s(X)| \times |s(Y)| = |s(Z)|$ ,
- ▶  $\mathfrak{A} \models_s +(X_1, \dots, X_n, Y)$  iff  $|s(X_1)| + \dots + |s(X_n)| = |s(Y)|$ .

Expressivity within  
second-order  
transitive-closure  
logic

Jonni Virtema

Transitive closure

FO(TC) & SO(TC)

Examples

Expressivity

MSO(TC) and  
counting

Order invariant  
MSO

Open questions

# Counting in NLOGSPACE

## Definition

A  $k$ -ary numeric predicate  $Q_p$  is *decidable in NLOGSPACE* if the membership  $(n_0, \dots, n_k) \in Q_p$  can be decided by a nondeterministic Turing machine that uses logarithmic space when the numbers  $n_0, \dots, n_k$  are given in unary. Note that this is equivalent to linear space when  $n_0, \dots, n_k$  are given in binary.

We restrict to numeric predicates that are decidable in NLOGSPACE.

## Example

Let  $k$  be a natural number,  $X, Y, Z, X_1, \dots, X_n$  monadic second-order variables. The following numeric predicates are clearly NLOGSPACE-definable:

- ▶  $\mathcal{A} \models_s \text{size}(X, k)$  iff  $|s(X)| = k$ ,
- ▶  $\mathcal{A} \models_s \times(X, Y, Z)$  iff  $|s(X)| \times |s(Y)| = |s(Z)|$ ,
- ▶  $\mathcal{A} \models_s +(X_1, \dots, X_n, Y)$  iff  $|s(X_1)| + \dots + |s(X_n)| = |s(Y)|$ .

Expressivity within  
second-order  
transitive-closure  
logic

Jonni Virtema

Transitive closure

FO(TC) & SO(TC)

Examples

Expressivity

MSO(TC) and  
counting

Order invariant  
MSO

Open questions

# Counting in NLOGSPACE

Expressivity within  
second-order  
transitive-closure  
logic

Jonni Virtema

Transitive closure

FO(TC) & SO(TC)

Examples

Expressivity

MSO(TC) and  
counting

Order invariant  
MSO

Open questions

## Proposition (Immerman 87)

For every  $k$ -ary numeric predicate  $Q_p$  decidable in NLOGSPACE there exists a formula  $\varphi_p$  of FO(TC) over  $\{s, x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ ,

$$\mathfrak{A} \models_s p(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k) \text{ iff } \mathfrak{B} \models_t \varphi_p,$$

where  $B = \{0, 1, \dots, |A|\}$ ,  $t(s)$  is the successor relation of  $B$ , and  $t(x_i) = s(\mu_i)$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

# MSO(TC) (without order) simulates FO(TC) with order

The idea is that natural numbers  $i$  are simulated by sets of cardinality  $i$ . Recall that MSO(TC) can express the H\"artig quantifier!

The translation  $^+ : \text{FO(TC)} \rightarrow \text{MSO(TC)}$  is defined as follows:

- ▶ For  $\psi$  of the form  $x_i = x_j$ , define  $\psi^+ := \text{H}_{xy}(X_i(x), X_j(y))$ .
- ▶ For  $\psi$  of the form  $s(x_i, x_j)$ , define  $\psi^+ := \exists z (\neg X_i(z) \wedge \text{H}_{xy}(X_i(x) \vee x = z, X_j(y)))$ .
- ▶ For  $\psi$  of the form  $\exists x_i \varphi$ , define  $\psi^+ := \exists X_i \varphi^+$ .
- ▶ For  $\psi$  of the form  $[\text{TC}_{\vec{x}, \vec{x}'} \varphi](\vec{y}, \vec{y}')$ , define  $\psi^+ := [\text{TC}_{\vec{X}, \vec{X}'} \varphi^+](\vec{Y}, \vec{Y}')$ .

Expressivity within  
second-order  
transitive-closure  
logic

Jonni Virtema

Transitive closure  
FO(TC) & SO(TC)

Examples

Expressivity

MSO(TC) and  
counting

Order invariant  
MSO

Open questions

# MSO(TC) (without order) simulates FO(TC) with order

The idea is that natural numbers  $i$  are simulated by sets of cardinality  $i$ . Recall that MSO(TC) can express the H\"artig quantifier!

The translation  $^+ : \text{FO(TC)} \rightarrow \text{MSO(TC)}$  is defined as follows:

- ▶ For  $\psi$  of the form  $x_i = x_j$ , define  $\psi^+ := \text{H}_{xy}(X_i(x), X_j(y))$ .
- ▶ For  $\psi$  of the form  $s(x_i, x_j)$ , define
$$\psi^+ := \exists z \left( \neg X_i(z) \wedge \text{H}_{xy}(X_i(x) \vee x = z, X_j(y)) \right).$$
- ▶ For  $\psi$  of the form  $\exists x_i \varphi$ , define  $\psi^+ := \exists X_i \varphi^+$ .
- ▶ For  $\psi$  of the form  $[\text{TC}_{\vec{x}, \vec{x}'} \varphi](\vec{y}, \vec{y}')$ , define  $\psi^+ := [\text{TC}_{\vec{X}, \vec{X}'} \varphi^+](\vec{Y}, \vec{Y}')$ .

# MSO(TC) simulates CMSO(TC)

In MSO(TC) counter variables are treated as set variables. Define a translation  $*$  : CMSOTC  $\rightarrow$  MSO(TC).

- ▶ For an NLOGSPACE numeric predicate  $Q_p$  and  $\psi$  of the form  $p(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$ , define  $\psi^*$  as  $\varphi_p^+(\mu_1/X_1, \dots, \mu_k/X_k)$ , where  $^+$  is the translation defined above and  $\varphi_p$  the defining FO(TC) formula of  $Q_p$ .
- ▶ For  $\psi$  of the form  $\mu = \#\{x \mid \varphi\}$ ,  $\psi^*$  is  $Hxy(\varphi^*, \mu(y))$ .
- ▶ For  $\psi$  of the form  $\exists \mu_i \varphi$ , define  $\psi^*$  as  $\exists \mu_i \varphi^*$ .

Expressivity within  
second-order  
transitive-closure  
logic

Jonni Virtema

Transitive closure

FO(TC) & SO(TC)

Examples

Expressivity

MSO(TC) and  
counting

Order invariant  
MSO

Open questions

# Order invariant MSO

A formula  $\varphi \in \text{MSO}$  over  $\tau_{\leq}$  is *order-invariant*, if for every  $\tau$ -structure  $\mathfrak{A}$  and expansions  $\mathfrak{A}'$  and  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  to the vocabulary  $\tau_{\leq}$ , in which  $\leq^{\mathfrak{A}'}$  and  $\leq^{\mathfrak{A}^*}$  are linear orders of  $A$ , we have that

$$\mathfrak{A}' \models \varphi \text{ if and only if } \mathfrak{A}^* \models \varphi.$$

A class  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\tau$ -structures is *definable in order-invariant MSO* if and only if the class

$$\{(\mathfrak{A}, \leq) \mid \mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{C} \text{ and } \leq \text{ is a linear order of } A\}$$

is definable by some order-invariant MSO-formula.

Expressivity within  
second-order  
transitive-closure  
logic

Jonni Virtema

Transitive closure  
FO(TC) & SO(TC)

Examples

Expressivity

MSO(TC) and  
counting

Order invariant  
MSO

Open questions

# Order invariant MSO and MSO(TC)

## Example

Consider the class

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\mathfrak{A} \mid |A| \text{ is a prime number}\}$$

of  $\emptyset$ -structures. The language of prime length words over some unary alphabet is not regular and thus it follows via Büchi's theorem that  $\mathcal{C}$  is not definable in order-invariant MSO. However the following formula of MSO(TC) defines  $\mathcal{C}$ .

$$\exists X \forall Y \forall Z (\forall x (X(x)) \wedge (\text{size}(Y, 1) \vee \text{size}(Z, 1) \vee \neg \times(Y, Z, X))) \wedge \exists x \exists y \neg x = y.$$

## Corollary

*For any vocabulary  $\tau$ , there exists a class  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\tau$ -structures such that  $\mathcal{C}$  is definable in MSO(TC) but it is not definable in order-invariant MSO.*

Expressivity within  
second-order  
transitive-closure  
logic

Jonni Virtema

Transitive closure

FO(TC) & SO(TC)

Examples

Expressivity

MSO(TC) and  
counting

Order invariant  
MSO

Open questions

# Order invariant MSO and MSO(TC)

## Example

Consider the class

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\mathfrak{A} \mid |A| \text{ is a prime number}\}$$

of  $\emptyset$ -structures. The language of prime length words over some unary alphabet is not regular and thus it follows via Büchi's theorem that  $\mathcal{C}$  is not definable in order-invariant MSO. However the following formula of MSO(TC) defines  $\mathcal{C}$ .

$$\exists X \forall Y \forall Z (\forall x (X(x)) \wedge (\text{size}(Y, 1) \vee \text{size}(Z, 1) \vee \neg \times(Y, Z, X))) \wedge \exists x \exists y \neg x = y.$$

## Corollary

*For any vocabulary  $\tau$ , there exists a class  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\tau$ -structures such that  $\mathcal{C}$  is definable in MSO(TC) but it is not definable in order-invariant MSO.*

Expressivity within  
second-order  
transitive-closure  
logic

Jonni Virtema

Transitive closure

FO(TC) & SO(TC)

Examples

Expressivity

MSO(TC) and  
counting

Order invariant  
MSO

Open questions

# Order invariant MSO and MSO(TC)

## Theorem

Over finite unary vocabularies **MSO(TC)** is strictly more expressive than order-invariant **MSO**.

## Proof.

The proof is based on Parikh's Theorem (66):

For every regular language  $L$  its Parikh image (letter count)  $P(L)$  is a finite union of linear sets. □

A subset  $S$  of  $\mathbb{N}^k$  is a *linear set* if

$$S = \{ \vec{v}_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \vec{v}_i \mid a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

for some *offset*  $\vec{v}_0 \in \mathbb{N}^k$  and *generators*  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m \in \mathbb{N}^k$ .

Expressivity within  
second-order  
transitive-closure  
logic

Jonni Virtema

Transitive closure

FO(TC) & SO(TC)

Examples

Expressivity

MSO(TC) and  
counting

Order invariant  
MSO

Open questions

# Order invariant MSO and MSO(TC)

## Theorem

Over finite unary vocabularies **MSO(TC)** is strictly more expressive than order-invariant **MSO**.

## Proof.

The proof is based on Parikh's Theorem (66):

For every regular language  $L$  its Parikh image (letter count)  $P(L)$  is a finite union of linear sets. □

A subset  $S$  of  $\mathbb{N}^k$  is a *linear set* if

$$S = \{ \vec{v}_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \vec{v}_i \mid a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

for some *offset*  $\vec{v}_0 \in \mathbb{N}^k$  and *generators*  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m \in \mathbb{N}^k$ .

Expressivity within  
second-order  
transitive-closure  
logic

Jonni Virtema

Transitive closure  
FO(TC) & SO(TC)

Examples

Expressivity

MSO(TC) and  
counting

Order invariant  
MSO

Open questions

# Open question

- ▶ Does there exist a formula of least fixed point logic **LFP** that is not expressible in **MSO(TC)**. On ordered structures, this would show that there are problems in **P** that are not in **NLIN**, which is open (it is only known that the two classes are different).
- ▶ Note that **EVEN** is definable in **MSO(TC)** but not in **LFP** (over empty vocabulary).
- ▶ What is the relationship of **MSO(TC)** and order-invariant **MSO** over vocabularies of higher arity?

Expressivity within  
second-order  
transitive-closure  
logic

Jonni Virtema

Transitive closure

FO(TC) & SO(TC)

Examples

Expressivity

MSO(TC) and  
counting

Order invariant  
MSO

Open questions

# Happy Birthday Lauri!



Expressivity within  
second-order  
transitive-closure  
logic

Jonni Virtema

Transitive closure  
 $\text{FO}(\text{TC})$  &  $\text{SO}(\text{TC})$

Examples

Expressivity

$\text{MSO}(\text{TC})$  and  
counting

Order invariant  
 $\text{MSO}$

Open questions